

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ST

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/349,954	07/08/1999	NICHOLAS KIM HAYWARD	10441Z	7397	
7590 06/21/2006 SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			EXAMINER		
			SAOUD, CHRISTINE J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1647	1647	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/349,954	HAYWARD ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christine J. Saoud	1647			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHI - Extra after - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Does not sond time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 26-28,30,46-49 and 56-60 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 56 and 58 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 26-28, 30, 46-49, 57, 59, 60 is/are rej Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmei	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	0 🗆	(PTO 412)			
2)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/349,954

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response of 18 April 2006 has been received and entered. Claims 26-28, 30, 46-49, 56-60 are pending and under examination in the instant application.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

In response to the notice to comply with the requirements set forth in 37 CFR 41.202(a)(2)-(a)(6), Applicant has filed assignment papers such that the instant application is now assigned to Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research and Licentia Ltd. Application asserts that in light of the common ownership of the present application and the '939 patent, the basis for the proposed interference is terminated.

However, Applicant's actions necessitate the new grounds of rejection below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.

Claims 26-28, 30 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) because the applicant did not invent the claimed subject matter. The claimed subject matter is covered by U.S. Pat. No. 5,928,939. For Applicant's clarification, the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:11 of Eriksson et al. is identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:6 of the instant application. Therefore, claims to nucleic acids encoding this

Application/Control Number: 09/349,954

Art Unit: 1647

protein would be common subject matter and the nucleic acid molecule of Eriksson et al. would clearly encode a polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO:6 as recited in claim 26. Additionally, because the proteins have the same amino acid sequence, they should also share the same biological properties, thereby meeting the limitations of claims 27-28. Both of the proteins are human in origin, thereby meeting the limitation of claim 30.

Claims 26-28, 30 and 57 are directed to an invention not patentably distinct from claim 1 of commonly assigned U.S. Pat. No. 5,928,939. Specifically, the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:11 of Eriksson et al. is identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:6 of the instant application. Therefore, claims to nucleic acids encoding this protein would be common subject matter and the nucleic acid molecule of Eriksson et al. would clearly encode a polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO:6 as recited in claim 26.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office normally will not institute an interference between applications or a patent and an application of common ownership (see MPEP Chapter 2300). Commonly assigned U.S. Pat. No. 5,928,939, discussed above, would form the basis for a rejection of the noted claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) if the commonly assigned case qualifies as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) and the conflicting inventions were not commonly owned at the time the invention in this application was made. In order for the examiner to resolve this issue, the assignee can, under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and 37 CFR 1.78(c), either show that the conflicting inventions were commonly owned at the time the invention in this application was made, or name the prior inventor of the conflicting subject matter.

Art Unit: 1647

A showing that the inventions were commonly owned at the time the invention in this application was made will preclude a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based upon the commonly assigned case as a reference under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g), or 35 U.S.C. 102(e) for applications pending on or after December 10, 2004.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 26-28, 30 and 57 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 9 of U.S. Patent No. 5,928,939. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:11 of Eriksson et al. is identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:6 of the instant

Application/Control Number: 09/349,954

Art Unit: 1647

application. Therefore, claims to nucleic acids encoding this protein would be common subject matter and the nucleic acid molecule of Eriksson et al. would clearly encode a polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO:6 as recited in claim 26.

Claims 46-49, 57 and 59-60 are are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 44-47, 50, 52-59 of 08/765,588. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application are directed to methods of making polypeptides using specific nucleic acid molecules the claims of '588 are directed to nucleic acid molecules encoding polypeptides. Such method claims of the instant application were not restricted from the nucleic acid claims of '588 and it is *prima facie* obvious to use the nucleic acid which encodes the polypeptide in a method of making the polypeptide. The methods of using the nucleic acids to produce a protein of the instant application are obvious over the nucleic acid claims of '588, therefore, the subject matter clearly overlaps and is not patentably distinct, absent evidence to the contrary. Additionally, '588 includes nucleic acid claims encoding SEQ ID NO:6 which is also claimed in the instant application (see claims 26 and 57 of the instant application and claim 59 of '588).

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim 46 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 59-60 of

copending Application No. 09/907,007. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of '007 are directed to nucleic acid molecules encoding polypeptides, and the instant claims are directed to methods of making polypeptides using specific nucleic acid molecules. The method claims of the instant invention were not restricted from the nucleic acid claims and it is *prima facie* obvious to use the nucleic acid which encodes the polypeptide in a method of making the polypeptide. The method claims of the instant application use the nucleic acids of '007 and therefore, they constitute common subject matter. The subject matter clearly overlaps and is not patentably distinct, absent evidence to the contrary.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 56 and 58 are allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/349,954 Page 7

Art Unit: 1647

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine J. Saoud whose telephone number is 571-272-0891. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6AM-2PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/349,954

Art Unit: 1647

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CHRISTINE J. SAOUD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Chustine J. Saoud